

Memorandum

TO: Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee (TBPOC) **DATE:** October 26, 2006

FR: Beatriz Lacson, Clerk of the TBPOC

RE: Agenda No. - 2a
Consent Calendar
Item- September 29, 2006 Meeting Minutes

Please sign the attached September 29, 2006 Meeting Minutes that you approved at the conference call on October 25.

Thank you.

Attachment:
September 29, 2006 Meeting Minutes

Return to:
Beatriz G. Lacson
TBPOC Support Staff
100 California, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94111-4510



TOLL BRIDGE PROGRAM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

CALTRANS BAY AREA TOLL AUTHORITY CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

MEETING MINUTES

September 29 2006, 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM
The Claremont Conference Room, MTC Office
101 8th St, Oakland, CA

Attendees: TBPOC Members: Will Kempton, Steve Heminger, and John Barna;
PMT Members: Tony Anziano, Andy Fremier; Participants: Ali Banani, Andre Boutros, Beatriz Lacson, Peter Lee, Brian Maroney, Judis Santos, Bijan Sartipi, Sue Woo

Convened: 1:36 PM

Items		Action
1.	CHAIR'S REPORT The Chair convened the meeting at 1:36 PM, and deferred approval items until Executive Director John Barna joined the Committee at 1:50 PM. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Chair conveyed his appreciation and compliments:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ to MTC/BATA for the Bay Bridge closure resolution; and○ to Staff for the adept management of the silica issue.• The Chair expressed the need to educate the public on Proposition 1B, the \$20 billion transportation bond proposal that will be on the November 7 ballot.	
2.	CONSENT CALENDAR <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) August 24, 2006 Meeting Minutes<ul style="list-style-type: none">• BATA presented the August 24, 2006 Meeting Minutes for approval.b) TBPOC Calendar 2007<ul style="list-style-type: none">• BATA presented the 2007 TBPOC meeting calendar for approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The TBPOC APPROVED the August 24, 2006 Meeting Minutes.• The TBPOC APPROVED the TBPOC Meeting Calendar 2007, with the following request:

(continued)

Items	Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Avoid Friday afternoon meetings in the Bay Area; and ○ Be as flexible as possible so as to accommodate unpredictable changes in the members' schedules.
<p>3. MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT</p> <p>a) BATA presented the draft September 2006 Monthly Progress Report for information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated financial information is expected to be incorporated by this evening; TBPOC approval through their respective PMT members is anticipated early next week after appropriate reviews and final comments are incorporated. • It was noted that the Highlights on page 6 state that work on the Stormwater Treatment Measures has been delayed but the Schedule Summary on page 9 shows it to be 9 months ahead of schedule. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It was explained that in spite of the delay, the work is still ahead of schedule. • Regarding the Quarterly Report, it was mentioned that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the quality of the reports has improved; ○ approval was achieved ahead of distribution date for the first time; ○ internal Administration approval process is in place for quick responses. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BATA reported that the TBPOC APPROVED the August 2006 Monthly Progress Report through their respective PMT representatives on August 31st. • Indicate in the Highlights that the Stormwater Treatment Measures work has been delayed but is still 9 months ahead of schedule.
<p>4. PROGRAM ISSUES</p> <p>a) The Capital Outlay Support Overview was not presented.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When queried, the Department indicated that while there are pressures put on the COS, it is not running out of funds, e.g., cost 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The TBPOC agreed to defer the presentation to next month's meeting. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Adjust figures to reflect a 9.5% average increase for engineering

(continued)

Items	Action
<p>increases anticipated in some areas can be offset by some projects coming in early.</p>	<p>resources vs. the 7% increase shown;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Instead of an annual look, think of ways to manage a three-year COS budget; ○ Focus on managing the COS down.
<p>5. SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND BAY BRIDGE UPDATES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The TBPOC accepted, without discussion, the updates presented in the meeting materials binder for items 5a) West Approach, 5c) E2/T1 Foundations, 5d) SAS Superstructure, 5e) Skyway, and 6) New Benicia-Martinez Bridge. • The Department announced that a new project status summary format will be introduced in October. 	
<p>West Approach 5a.1) The Department presented for approval CCO No. 150, to cover the expedited demolition of Frames 7U(S) and 8U(S) over the recent three-day Labor Day weekend.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The TBPOC APPROVED CCO No. 150 for \$4,466,138.78.
<p>Yerba Buena Island 5b.1 /5b.2) The Department gave a combined update on the YBI Transition Structure and South/South Detour contracts which are inter-related.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • YBITS design development is now integrated with development of SSD design and work. • Schedules for both contracts are being monitored to accommodate concurrent delivery with an accelerated SAS. • Significant progress is being made and staff is in place. • Given the three- to four-year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The PMT to put together a recommendation on how to

(continued)

Items	Action
<p>exposure to hook-up of the Skyway to Yerba Buena, discussion arose as to whether an emergency plan could be put in place to allow the bridge to remain open if an earthquake occurs in the interim; have some fallback position within three or four months of the disaster, i.e., allow a temporary facility (new detour) to be in operation until the bridge is functioning.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The Department pointed out that such a plan would require consulting services which would result in a general conceptual feasibility study.○ With focus on YBI, the Department indicated that alternative ways are already being explored by advancing high-risk tasks.	<p>address the TBPOC's request for an emergency plan. It was mutually agreed to not divert existing resources into this assignment.</p>
<p>Oakland Touchdown (OTD) 5f.) The Department gave an update.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• This work is organized into three contracts:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ OTD No. 1 – construction of marine foundations work and westbound approach work;○ OTD No. 2 – construction of remaining eastbound approach when westbound traffic is shifted onto the new SAS ; and○ Submarine Cable – replacement of existing submarine electrical cable from Oakland to Treasure Island.• The update was focused on the Submarine Cable contract.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Bids were opened on September 19 with only a single bid received for	

(continued)

Items	Action
<p>\$13,063,777 from California Engineering Contractors, Inc. The Engineer's Estimate was \$6,624,440.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It was noted that BATA has a \$9.6 million allocation for this contract. ○ The high ticket item of this bid is the cable itself for \$3.3 million; there was a 60% spike in the cost of copper (cable composition) five months ago. ○ A discussion arose regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Option A: Award now for the two cables - (Alt. 1) the dollar gap needs to be filled by the City of San Francisco with deadline to provide funds in one week, or (Alt. 2) re-scope with supplemental allocation from BATA subject to issuance of a CCO for the elimination of one cable; ➤ Option B: Utilize more generic cable specifications; re-bid as a separate contract to start ahead of OTD No. 1; accelerate bid and award process. <p>5f.1) The Department requested approval of the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) for the OTD No. 1 contract.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Convene a bid hearing to determine if the bid is responsive. • At the same time, investigate whether the City of San Francisco will come up with additional allocation, and pending outcome of bid hearing; if yes, award contract; if not, re-bid contract with one cable (per agreement), repackage and re-bid using a more generic cable specification. • Assess schedule flexibility/constraints and potential cost impacts to the program as a result of the cable contract delay of award or re-bidding process. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Depending on the outcome of the bid hearing, funding discussions, or program schedule and cost analysis, a TBPOC conference call will be necessary to approve the next steps. • The TBPOC APPROVED the PS&E for the OTD No. 1 contract for \$226.5 million with

(continued)

Items	Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Estimate by the Department is \$226.5 million; BATA has performed a cursory check and found the Department's estimate to be reasonable.• What can be done to encourage competition was discussed:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ use any kind of outreach that will help;○ build in incentives that will promote interest in bidding.○ many contractors should be interested in this work as it is a relatively conventional construction package.• If the Submarine Cable contract is delayed, it is likely that a work-around for the OTD conflicts will be issued through an addendum	<p>the following caveat:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ If there is a need for an addendum due to impact from the Submarine Cable contract, present to the TBPOC again.
<p>6. NEW BENICIA-MARTINEZ BRIDGE</p> <p>a) BATA requested approval to negotiate a contract change order (CCO) in an amount not to exceed \$4.25 million to cover the Open Road Tolling (ORT) work and other miscellaneous work required to open the Benicia-Martinez Bridge to traffic.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The TBPOC APPROVED proceeding with negotiation of a CCO in an amount not to exceed \$4.25 million.
<p>7. Other Business</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The next TBPOC meeting is scheduled for October 25th in Sacramento.	

Adjourned: 3:04 PM

(continued)

MEETING MINUTES

September 29, 2006, 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM
The Claremont Conference Room, MTC Office
101 8th St, Oakland, CA


APPROVED BY:




WILL KEMPTON, Director
California Department of Transportation



Date



JOHN F. BARNA, Jr., Executive Director
California Transportation Commission



Date



STEVE HEMINGER, Executive Director
Bay Area Toll Authority



Date